



Attorneys At Law  
www.margolisedelstein.com

EMERY J. MISHKY, ESQ.  
Direct Dial No.: 908-790-7761  
[emishky@margolisedelstein.com](mailto:emishky@margolisedelstein.com)

**NORTH NEW JERSEY OFFICE:**  
Connell Corporate Center  
400 Connell Drive  
Suite 5400  
Berkeley Heights, NJ 07922  
908-790-1401  
Fax 908-790-1486

October 20, 2017

**SOUTH NEW JERSEY OFFICE:\***  
100 Century Parkway  
Suite 200  
Mt. Laurel, NJ 08054  
856-727-6000  
Fax 856-727-6017

**PHILADELPHIA OFFICE:\***  
The Curtis Center  
170 S. Independence Mall West  
Suite 400E  
Philadelphia, PA 19106-3337  
215-922-1100  
Fax 215-922-1772

**HARRISBURG OFFICE:\***  
3510 Trindle Road  
Camp Hill, PA 17011  
717-975-8114  
Fax 717-975-8124

**PITTSBURGH OFFICE:**  
522 William Penn place  
Suite 3300  
Pittsburgh, PA 15219  
412-281-4256  
Fax 412-642-2380

**SCRANTON OFFICE:**  
220 Penn Avenue  
Suite 305  
Scranton, PA 18503  
570-342-4231  
Fax 570-342-4841

**DELAWARE OFFICE:**  
750 Shipyard Drive  
Suite 102  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
302-888-1112  
Fax 302-888-1119

***Via ECF Filing***

Honorable Michael A. Shipp, U.S.D.J.  
U.S. District Court  
Clarkson S. Fisher Building  
and U.S. Courthouse  
402 East State Street  
Trenton, NJ 08608

Re: Johnson v. City of Long Branch  
Our File Number: EJM / 51150.5-00017  
Docket Number: 3:15-cv-01081-MAS-TJB

Dear Judge Shipp:

Kindly accept this correspondence in response to EEOC's October 16, 2017 submission and its proposed order for implementing the mandate issued by the Third Circuit. EEOC proposes that in vacating and remanding Your Honor's Order on March 21, 2016, the Court consider its Motion to Enforce Subpoena be in the "first instance." To the contrary, we request that the Court treat Magistrate Judge Bongiovanni's Order as a "report and recommendation." Judge Bongiovanni's Order is a valid decision based upon substantive grounds. It is only on procedural grounds that the Order is being vacated and remanded. In addition, EEOC will not be prejudiced by the Order being considered as a "report and recommendation" as EEOC will have sufficient opportunity to file its objections to the Order within 14 days after entry of an order by the Court.

Should Your Honor decide that EEOC's Motion to Enforce be reviewed in the "first instance," we request that the submission dates proposed by EEOC be revised as follows:

1. The EEOC shall file its initial supplemental submission on or before November 22, 2017;

Page 2

October 20, 2017

Re: Johnson v. City of Long Branch  
Our File No.: EJM / 51150.5-00017 / 2013014739

2. Respondent, City of Long Branch, shall file its opposition no later than December 11, 2017;
3. The EEOC shall file its Reply no later than December 18, 2017.

We request the above deadlines allow the Respondent an additional week to submit its Opposition due to the Thanksgiving Holidays. EEOC's proposed deadline does not allow sufficient time for the Respondent's Opposition, nor does it take into consideration the Thanksgiving Holidays. Thank you for Your Honor's kind courtesy and consideration to the foregoing.

Respectfully submitted,

MARGOLIS EDELSTEIN

By: \_\_\_\_\_

EMERY J. MISHKY

EJM/nao

cc: Rosemary DiSavino, Esq. (via ECF Filing)

M:\mdir\51150\00017\COR\2017.10.20 - Ltr to Judge Shipp re opposition.wpd